

WHAT

IS

THIS THING

CALLED:

ANTI-SEMITISM?

By MYRON C. FAGAN

No. 20

**WHAT
IS
THIS THING
CALLED:
ANTI-SEMITISM?**

By MYRON C. FAGAN

CPA BOOK PUBLISHER

P. O. Box 596, Boring, OR 97009

Email: cpabooks@hotmail.com

No. 20

Published by
CINEMA EDUCATIONAL GUILD, Inc.

MYRON C. FAGAN, NATIONAL DIRECTOR
Organized to Combat Communism in Hollywood

NOVEMBER-DECEMBER 1951—News-Bulletin

C O N T E N T S

1. The Strange Case of Anna M. Rosenberg.
 2. How Libel Suit Against ADL Was "Torpedoed."
 3. The "Black Dragon Society" of America.
 4. A Typical ADL Commissar.
 5. The "ANTI-SEMITISM" Bogey!!!
-

“BIRTH OF A FEMALE “FRANKENSTEIN!”

On November 8, 1950, our then Defense Secretary, George Marshall, threw our entire nation into a furore by announcing that he had chosen one Anna M. Rosenberg to be his First Assistant Defense Secretary. Marshall timed the announcement for the morning following the election day of that year—on the theory that it would be lost in the welter of election returns and go generally unnoticed.

It was all nicely calculated. The announcement was brief, as if the appointment were no more important, or newsworthy, than a change of chauffeurs for Marshall's official motor car. In the main, the press accepted it in that spirit and buried it as a minor item in an obscure section of the paper. But all of the Truman-Marshall fine calculations went completely awry. The name “Anna M. Rosenberg” rang a bell in the minds of several Newspaper Columnists and Radio Commentators in Washington—their furiously indignant condemnation of the appointment startled the nation into the realization that something very sinister was taking place.

THE IMMORTAL WARNING OF G. WASHINGTON!

The Office of the Secretary of Defense is the heart and the nerve center of our National Security. In the immortal words of George Washington, it is an Office in which none but tried and proven loyal AMERICANS should be “placed on guard.” This applies not only to the Secretary, but to the very lowliest of clerks. Aside from the incongruity of ANY woman in the post of FIRST ASSISTANT SECRETARY of DEFENSE, the background of Anna M. Rosenberg does not conform to the kind of an American George Washington had in mind when he uttered those words—*words which are far more fateful today than they were even in those Valley Forge days!*

AN AMERICAN ANA PAUKER

The name ANNA M. ROSENBERG had had a high place of *honor* on the roster of the Communist “John Reed Clubs” . . . also in association with Red Joe Lash, Bishop Bromley Oxnam, Roger Baldwin, *just to name a few*, she served on the Board of the “New York State Committee For Equality in Education” . . . also, throughout Franklin D. Roosevelt's gubernatorial career she was his “liaison” with all the Minority and Left Wing groups in the New York area—and *continued as such after he became President* . . . she wrote articles for

the "New Masses"—and was highly regarded by that monthly rag's daily counterpart, "The Daily Worker" . . . and during World War Two she was hurled out of Paris by our Commanding Generals for slandering our troops and creating violent dissensions.

The impact of these revelations was like a typhoon. Cries of outraged indignation rang out clear across the nation. It startled members of both Houses of Congress into furious demands that the appointment be cancelled. A Committee was appointed to investigate Mrs. Rosenberg. Of course, she piously denied all charges, but the prima facie evidence was so overwhelming that the BIG BRAINS behind the plot to install her in that very vulnerable post were all but ready to resign themselves to defeat—when they got a totally unexpected lucky break: the controversy had attracted all types of national figures, among them individuals who for years have been self-proclaimed anti-semites—they injected the word "Jewess" in their protests. That was all the Rosenberg BIG BRAINS needed! They promptly proclaimed that the entire matter was an "anti-semitic" plot—that all charges against Annie were utterly false—that the Anna M. Rosenberg who figured in all those Red Front activities was not *their* Annie at all, at all—that it was "another Anna M. Rosenberg"—and woe betide any who joined in that "*Anti-Semitic Conspiracy*"! They never produced that "other Anna M. Rosenberg." They never even tried to explain away the fact that photographs of that "other Anna M. Rosenberg," published in "New Masses" at the time she wrote for that sheet, bore a startling resemblance to *their* Anna M. Rosenberg. They merely seized upon the "anti-semitic" bogey and quickly drove all critics to cover with a threat of that brand. The Committee to investigate the charges hurriedly fell in line and released a rumor to the effect that they had located the "other Anna M. Rosenberg"—but they never produced her—and no effort was made to describe or identify her . . . in short, the "other Anna M. Rosenberg" became a female "Harvey." Anyway, all the shouting and the tumult was hushed up and Annie's appointment as First Assistant Secretary of Defense was made official.

Now comes an incident which establishes once and for all that in her official functions Anna Rosenberg faithfully follows the Communist line and employs the techniques of the Kremlin.

WAY THE RED COMMISSARS WORK

It is a matter of record that Stalin has never trusted the officers of his armies. To guard the "morale" of the troops, every Red Army Unit

is provided with a tried and proven civilian Commissar, whose authority is supreme over everybody from the commanding general down to the Russian equivalent of our buck private. The civilian Commissar assigns to his personal staff carefully chosen stooges from the rank and file, whose identities are never revealed to the officers. On frequent occasions the Commissar calls for "troop inspections"—*from which all officers are excluded and shoo'd out of earshot!* The "inspection" is nothing more nor less than a political harangue calculated to offset any *undesirable* influence of the officers. It is known that many officers' heads roll after these "inspections." Now back to Annie M. Rosenberg:

COMMISSAR ANNIE GOES TO KOREA

Several weeks ago our First Assistant Secretary of Defense decided to fly to Korea and hold "troop inspections." It is very interesting to note how slavishly our Commissar Annie followed the technique of her counterparts in the Red Armies. But, lest I be charged with gross—and "anti-Semitic"—exaggeration, I will let a reprint of a special editorial published by the "Philadelphia Inquirer" tell the story:

DR. ANNA M. JEKYLL and MRS. ANNA M. HYDE

This entire disgraceful La Rosenberg Korean episode is best summed up in a colloquy between three G.I.'s who were listening to her thinly camouflaged Marxist-Moscow harangue:

G.I. Ozark Ike: "I don't get the dame; she talks like Joe Stalin—who is she?"

G.I. New York Jake: "Why, she's our First Assistant Secretary of Defense. Anna M. Rosenberg."

G.I. Texas Jack: "You're off your nut, bub—this babe is that 'other Anna M. Rosenberg'!"

RING THE ALARM ! ! !

For the love of God and our Country—for the love of those who are near and dear to your heart, SPREAD THE WORD! . . . spread it among all your friends and relations . . . spread it among your AMERICAN acquaintances . . . spread it far and wide, from Maine to California. Pray to them to write letters—*lots of letters*—an AVA-LANCHE of letters—to their Senators and Representatives DEMANDING that they drive this would-be ANA PAUKER out of the most

Anna Rosenberg Should Be Dismissed

(An Editorial
From the
Philadelphia Inquirer)

NThe accompanying Associated Press Wirephoto tells all we need to know about Mrs. Anna Rosenberg and why she ought to be relieved as Assistant Secretary of Defense.

"Anna, as usual, is in the center of this picture sent from somewhere in Korea. Around her are GI's men of the 24th Division. Keeping their distance in the background, on Anna's orders, are the top-ranking officers who accompanied her on her seven-day junket, and, incidentally, explained to her what was going on.

★

"The story Anna has to tell the GIs is calculated to make headlines, pictures — and personal publicity. She is telling them about a rotation plan, which could hardly be news to the GIs, since it was announced several months ago by Gen. J. Lawton Collins, Army Chief of Staff.

"She is telling them about a 10% pay boost, which Congress, not Anna Rosenberg, will determine. She is giving the GIs an opportunity — in fact, she is encouraging them — to gripe at the brass."

"Perhaps some of the officers separated from their men were not wholly surprised by Anna's antics. We understand that around the Pentagon she is referred to as "Madame Rangles" by ranking military personnel, because of the gold charm bracelet which jangles briskly at each imperious wave of her hand.

★

"Some of the GIs may have been impressed, but not in the way Mrs. Rosenberg thought. It is a highly unusual procedure for a civilian official to come out near the front lines with a war going on and do everything possible to torpedo



the military chain of command.

"This radical approach only stirs up resentment. By brusquely ordering the officers to stand back while she talked with the men, Mrs. Rosenberg made the officers look ridiculous. Her insistence on talking to the men alone, without any officers listening in, could convey only one meaning to the GIs—the officers were not to be trusted.

"Such meddling with the relations between military officers and men on the fighting front has nothing to do with the manpower problems that are supposed to occupy Mrs. Rosenberg's attention. The very lives of men, to say nothing of success in battle, may depend on mutual confidence, and Mrs. Rosenberg's actions tended to destroy that confidence.

"On the basis of her failure to understand about vital mili-

tary considerations Mrs. Rosenberg has shown that she is unfit for her post and should get out. It is a certainty that a majority of trained military men would approve.

But Mrs. Rosenberg displayed more than an ignorance of military matters. When she blithely talked about a 10% raise for all GIs and other military personnel as well, she was spurring GI hopes of getting something that she isn't in a position to deliver to them.

★

"It is Congress that fixes military rates of pay. The Defense Department may propose a pay increase, but it is up to Congress to decide and a vast number of factors, including the present huge drain on our resources, will go into that decision.

What if Congress is not of a mind to grant an increase?

The only result will be to create distrust on the part of the GI for his government—and this in itself is unworthy on the part of Mrs. Rosenberg.

"Anna Rosenberg left industrial relations work in New York to take her job as Assistant Defense Secretary. In the labor relations field she may be an expert; at least it is said she reaped an immense income from handing out advice on labor matters. The publicity she gets from her frequent junkets about the world no doubt will help Anna when she returns to her own business.

"But her publicity seeking and meddling intrusions in matters that don't concern her may have destroyed any value she may have had to the Defense Department. President Truman should diplomatically break the news to her, give her a service medal and send her packing back to New York City."

vulnerable post in our National Security! We have to wait until November 1952 to get rid of the Little Messiah from Missouri, but this Annie was not ELECTED into her post—we can hurl her out of it by a demand of Vox Populi. Remember: there is only one thing our politicians respect and fear—the voter! If enough of us let them know that by their deeds shall they be measured on election day they will obey the will of the people. Send copies of this Report to every good American you know and beg them on your bended knees to descend with letters, wires, phones, on their legislative representatives and DEMAND action for the Salvation of our Nation—OR ELSE!!!

FBI: "AS A MATTER OF INTELLIGENCE"

Under the heading of "as a matter of intelligence," all FBI agents are strictly instructed to give ear to every item of information pointing to possible acts of subversion, no matter how inconsequential or fantastic it may sound. The charges against Alger Hiss in 1939 sounded so idiotically fantastic that they ignored them. Had they not done so, our Atom Bomb and other secret National Security measures would never have fallen into the hands of our enemy. Investigation of Hiss would have led to other investigations that might well have plugged up all our State Department and other Government Agencies' leaks. There would have been no Korea "police action," no Berlin Airlift, no so-called "cold war," no threats of a Third World War, because Stalin would indeed have been a very "good boy" if we still had had sole possession of the Atom Bomb.

Hence, the F.B.I. carefully "files" every tiny scrap of information that comes their way, "as a matter of intelligence"; on the theory that it might some day be the clue to a dangerous act of subversion. Motivated by that same theory, in the hope that it will be an *invaluable* "matter of intelligence" for all Americans fighting Communism, I shall now reveal an act of treason and collaboration with the Reds by individuals whom, normally, every American should believe are loyal to the land they live in. It sounds so fantastic as to be utterly unbelievable, were it not for the DOCUMENTARY evidence to PROVE that it has happened—and *will continue to happen wherever AMERICANS are fighting for the salvation of our nation!* . . . until and unless Vox Populi puts an end to such treason!!!

TREASON "WITHIN THE LAW"

Very few of our present generation remember "Within The Law," a very famous HIT play—in 1910. Briefly, that play was concerned with the activities of a group of jail birds and criminals who had banded together to cheat, steal, rob, and blackmail—but to do it *within the law!* During their *sojourns* in various prisons they had studied our laws until they knew all its facets and wrinkles—and perfected a scheme whereby they could actually enlist "the Law" as their accomplice in all their skulduggery. They were very successful—until they became over-ambitious and overreached themselves. But by then they had wrought much grief and havoc for many decent people—*who depended upon the Law and its Officers—which, of course, includes lawyers—to protect them!*

However, that gang was petty—all they were interested in was stealing money. The “Officers of the Law” who defended or shielded, and protected them, likewise did it for money—or to avoid exposition of their own misdeeds! But that was in 1910. In those days nobody thought of destroying our nation. There was no “National Security” involved in their skulduggery—no subversion—no treason. They were just *domestic* crooks organized to live by their wits, but they did not even dream of injuring America as a nation. Today we have in our midst an expertly organized Gang, which is committed and sworn to drag us into the slavery of Communism—and it is employing the same identical “*within the law*” methods to carry on their TREASON—and using our laws . . . and those who are SWORN to guard our laws . . . to muzzle and handcuff and persecute those who fight to prevent their treason!

“SHUT UP — OR ELSE! . . .”: A.D.L.

On April 12, 1948, I delivered a public address in which I exposed the Red Treason in Hollywood, and *named* one hundred of the Hollywood Stars, Writers, Directors and Producers who were using our Screen and our Radio to siphon the poison of Communism into the American blood stream.

Immediately I was besieged on all sides by threats and by *crafty* “*persuasions*” to retract my charges and “muzzle” myself. When I rejected the “*persuasions*” and ignored the threats a new factor appeared on the scene: *the Anti-Defamation League.*” In our May 1950 “News Bulletin” I recited in detail the character and the make-up of this A.D.L. outfit; I recited their history and their background; I named the Reds who finance and direct the A.D.L.; I named their objectives . . . *which are directly in line with Moscow’s objectives* . . . so there is no need to repeat that profile in this issue. But “as a matter of intelligence,” I will repeat that they warned me that unless I “shut up” I would be smeared and vilified into utter disrepute . . . and if *that* would not shut me up, that a perfect barrage of law suits would be hurled at me. Naturally, I assumed they meant Libel suits. I had no fear of *such* suits as I *never* make a charge, or name a Name, unless I have *documentary* proof; so I told them to go right ahead. I did not even dream that they would—or *could*—throw a barrage of FALSE (“nuisance”) suits at me. Fear of drastic action by the Bar Association would deter lawyers from deliberately resorting to such conspiracy

and legal chicanery . . . *that* is one of the chief reasons for the existence of self-governing legal organizations like Bar Associations.

THE "SMEAR" CAMPAIGN

Throughout 1948 and 1949 they filed no suits. Late in 1949 I wrote "Red Treason in Hollywood" in which I *named* over TWO HUNDRED and FIFTY of the Hollywood Reds and Fellow Travelers—*still no suits!* Apparently they had decided to smear and vilify me into silence.

During those two years I spoke before hundreds of Civic organizations, such as Chambers of Commerce, Kiwanis, Lions, Rotary Clubs, D.A.R., Women's Clubs, etc. At no time did I ever bring Race, Color, or Creed into my discussions, or into my writings. Among the 250 Hollywood Reds and Fellow Travelers I had named there were Jews, Irish, Italians, Negroes and just plain everyday traitorous weasel Americans—but I never even remotely emphasized their nationalities, or their color, or their creeds. Yet, every organization before whom I spoke was high-pressured to cancel my engagement—on the charge that I am "anti-semitic." Always, the "pressures" came from the ANTI-DEFAMATION LEAGUE! Their "pressure" emissaries came from all walks of life: Rabbis, Christian Ministers (who play along with Reds), Lawyers, Business men, Newspapers—whom they intimidate with threats of "blacklist," etc., etc.

However, they met with very little success—and, invariably, my addresses gave the lie to their charges and boomeranged against them. Anyway, I completely and utterly ignored their smear campaign until January 1950.

In July 1949 Senator Jack B. Tenney appealed to the Cinema Educational Guild for help to force a rescission of the "United World Federalist" Resolution to abolish the United States as a sovereign nation. We promptly responded! The campaign we (CEG) put on to awaken the people of California—and throughout the nation—to the frightening menace in that malodorous Resolution spread like wild fire—because we unmasked the entire plot by NAMING the 42 notorious Reds and Fellow Travelers who had organized the "United World Federalists." The "Federalists" became alarmed. They realized that unless they could muzzle, or so vilify and discredit, Myron C. Fagan as to make the campaign impotent, California would rescind—and that the other 25 States, which had followed California in

approving similar Resolutions, would again follow California's example, and thus "torpedo" their entire treason plot.

(NOTE: On April 3, 1950, the California Legislature *did* rescind that Resolution—and Senator Jack B. Tenney PUBLICLY announced that without the cooperation of CEG it could never have been achieved . . . but prior to the Rescission, the U W F, in their own publications bitterly admitted that "unless Myron C. Fagan and CEG could be silenced the United World Federalists' "one-world" plans would be destroyed. ED.)

Thus, lo and behold, in October 1949, the "Christian Science Monitor," *no less*, published a viciously smearing and highly libelous article about "Myron C. Fagan and his lunatic fringe, who are sabotaging the PEACE aims of the United World Federalists." The significant point about that article was that Ed Canham, Editor of the Christian Science Monitor, is a Rhodes Scholar, a zealous "One Worlder" and *frantic* supporter of the "United World Federalists."

Simultaneously, a wild-eyed "Liberal" Radio news commentator on C.B.S., one Chet Huntley, the *official* Radio voice of U W F, blurted an even more viciously slanderous attack, quoting the Christian Science Monitor—and *adding monstrous lies* to the effect that "Myron C. Fagan is an 'anti-semite'," linking my name with various vociferously self-proclaimed "anti-semites."

I still would have ignored these smears, but a few days later, *at a supposedly secret meeting* of the "Anti-Defamation League" in Santa Ana, California, their official spokesman PROUDLY announced that "we had OUR Chet Huntley do a great smear job on Myron C. Fagan."

With that statement the A D L openly confessed that they had entered into a conspiracy with U W F to destroy Myron C. Fagan and CEG—not on account of "anti-semitism," but on behalf of a TREASON PLOT to destroy the Sovereignty of the United States as a nation!

AT LAST, THE "RIGHTEOUS" ANTI-DEFAMATION LEAGUE STOOD UNMASKED!!!

Ever since 1913 the "Anti-Defamation League" has been self-claiming itself to be the Jewish Voice of Authority—and that it was organized to protect the individual members of the race from discrimination, and, *primarily*, to prevent "defamation" of the race as a whole. Were that the entire truth it would be well and good—and *rightly within their province*. But that is NOT the truth, *or anywhere*

near the truth!!! The ADL is no more the Voice of the Jewish People than the Mafia is the Voice of the Sicilian People! The ADL is the "Secret Police" (Gestapo) of the B'nai B'rith. The B'nai B'rith is a Jewish fraternal organization composed of a small (but powerful) fractional percentage of the five million, more or less, Jews in the United States.

The Communist Party in Russia is composed of less than *five per cent* of the total population of that hapless nation . . . *the other 95% are slaves of the 5%*—all of whom are kept in their chains of slavery by a murderously ruthless SECRET POLICE, known as the MVD.

Significantly, the B'nai B'rith is composed of less than 5% of the Jewish population in America . . . *the other 95% are dominated by the 5%*—all of whom are kept under control by the B'nai B'rith SECRET POLICE, known as the ADL. The ADL is fully as ruthless as Moscow's MVD—only they cannot *as yet* resort to the *murderous* methods of the MVD . . . because, *as yet*, America is a free nation. Actually, the ADL is no more concerned with the true welfare of American Jewry than the Stalin crew is concerned with the welfare of the Russian people. They seized their self-proclaimed *suzereinty over* American Jewry exactly in the same manner that the Reds seized their power in Russia—*and almost to the same degree.*

As proof that the objectives of the ADL are not purely racial, we find that they are also self-appointed "defenders" of ALL so-called Minority Groups . . . we find them in cahoots with the UWF in that treason plot . . . they are the chief agitators for FEPC . . . they are vociferously behind the frighteningly sinister "Genocide Treaty" . . . they noisily endorse all the Moscow tricked-up PEACE propaganda. In short, they aid and abet all pro-Red and anti-American objectives!

If the ADL were truly a simon-pure defender and protector of the Jewish people, and *sincerely* devoted to a preservation of a good reputation for the Race, nobody would find fault with them. Their present cry is that anybody who *names* a Communist Jew casts a reflection on the race. That is not only a *false* cry, but with that cry they burden *by innuendo* the entire Race with the taint of Communism. They could long ago have erased that suspicion in the minds of the American people by a very simple and most effective process: *they could have disavowed any Jew who became a Communist . . .* just as the Catholic Church automatically excommunicates the Catholic who embraces Communism. Such action would have completely absolved the entire Race. Instead, they shield the Communist—*and disavow*

the Jews who fight Communism — such as Rabbi Schultz, George Sokolsky, Alfred Kohlberg, Lessing Rosenwald, Ben Freedman, etc., etc. Furthermore, they do not confine themselves to shielding the Jew who turned Communist—they shield the Irish Gene Kelly, the Italian Sinatra, they even shield Paul Robeson . . . *but they move Heaven and Earth to destroy ANYBODY, be he Jew or Gentile, who fights Communism!*

With threats of “black list” and the dread “anti-Semitic” brand, they have for many years intimidated and virtually muzzled otherwise courageous and fair-minded public figures such as John T. Flynn, Fulton Lewis, Jr. . . . they have held—and still hold—captive such politicians as Tom Dewey, Earl Warren, Stassen, Eisenhower, etc. . . . they have bulldozed and stymied efforts for the preservation of our Country by the American Legion and the Press and the Radio. They shielded and protected, *via their threats*, Communists and Subversives of all types and degrees. They have for years, *via their threats*, influenced politicians, courts, lawyers, Members of Congress—and even the White House. And their threats and their “persuasions” were always based on the premise that the ADL was the *official voice* of *all* of American Jewry!—and therefore controlled the influence *and* the VOTES of *all* of American Jewry! And through all these years they managed to delude their own people—and the vast majority of the American people—into the belief that their sole objective is to “protect the individual Jew from discrimination and persecution . . . and prevent DEFAMATION of the Race as a whole.”

But when their spokesman at Santa Ana exposed—inadvertantly, of course—that they had joined the “United World Federalists” treason plot, and were masterminding the job of sabotaging a campaign to foil that plot, they completely let the cat out of the bag: The CEG campaign to force the rescussion of the “United World Federalist” Resolution did not even remotely touch the Jewish people . . . the word “Jew” was *never* used, and, as a matter of fact, we never even thought that the Jewish people, *as a people*, were at all involved in that Machiavellian scheme. Thus, the ADL, in effect, *confessed* that not only were they not an instrument for the protection of American Jewry, but that they were USING American Jewry to *further treason against the American people*—and aiding and abetting the Internationalists and Communists who were seeking to destroy the United States as a Sovereign Nation!!!

This was too VITAL a denouement to be ignored! I felt that the American people must be made aware of it—and, for their own pro-

tection, American Jewry should be made to realize what the "Anti-Defamation League" *really* stands for. But I knew that our "captive" Press would never expose the ulterior purposes for which the ADL is in existence . . . I knew the "captive" Radio would not do it; there was only one possible way to bring the situation to the attention of the entire American people—and that was through a COURT action—*which could not be kept secret!!!*

On the evening of January 10, 1949, when the CINEMA EDUCATIONAL GUILD held its first official meeting, I stated that I knew and anticipated that I, personally, would become a target for every form of smear and vilification the Reds could "dream-up"—but that I would ignore all such attacks . . . because Libel suits are too costly—*not only in money, but in TIME*. The job of preparation and research for a Libel suit is stupendous — it would eat up MONTHS of TIME during which I would practically have to abandon the job of directing our fight to unmask the Reds. THAT would be worth EVERYTHING to the enemy!—and I was determined to never give them that kind of a victory. Aside from that, I theorized that those who know me would require no "defense" against the Red smears—and those who don't know me would accept such a "defense" with a mountain-sized grain of salt.

However, this ADL—UWF—Christian Science Monitor—Huntley smear campaign was a horse of another color: it was a conspiracy to destroy America! Men who know "the full score," such as Senator Jack B. Tenney, urged and pointed out that a Libel suit in which ALL of the conspirators would be brought to book in open court would be "front page" news *and that it would unmask the entire conspiracy to destroy America*—it would force into *open court* the secret files of the "Anti-Defamation League," and the "United World Federalists," and expose their direct alliance with the Reds—it would *awaken* the entire American people—and it would serve as a protection for all those who are fighting for the preservation of America.

With that objective in mind, I laid aside my original resolution and agreed to file a Libel and Conspiracy suit against the ANTI-DEFAMATION LEAGUE, UWF, the Christian Science Monitor, Chet Huntley and the Columbia Broadcasting System! . . . I was not interested in the amount of damages I would recover, or in any retractions the Court might order . . . I was interested only in AWAKENING our people by publicly unmasking the mortal enemy hiding behind a mask of "righteousness."

Senator Tenney promptly offered to serve as my attorney—WITHOUT FEE. However, he is not familiar with Libel laws—it became necessary to engage a lawyer who specialized in that type of practice. But not ANY such lawyer. Both Tenney and I knew that the “Anti-Defamation League” wields frighteningly great influence in ALL our Courts . . . that if we picked a lawyer who was at all vulnerable to ADL threat, or influence, he would deliberately torpedo our case. There are other lawyers who are loyal enough AMERICAN, but who fearfully shy away from tangling with the ADL. It was vital to find one who looked upon the ADL, and all un-American organizations, as an “enemy” to *himself*, as well as to America. Senator Tenney undertook to locate such a lawyer. He finally recommended one William C. Ring. I did not know Ring, had never even heard of him, but according to Tenney—and Ring’s own declarations to me—he had been an *inveterate* foe of the Reds for thirty years . . . and he *knew* the ADL for what it truly is. He expressed a great eagerness to take the case. Senator Tenney’s assurances convinced me—and I accepted him. However, *I insisted on a very specific contract covering fully the matter of fees, trust moneys for Bonds, Depositions, etc.*

Within the next few weeks, however, both Senator Tenney and I began to suspect that—*paraphrasing Shakespeare*—“there was something rotten in the state of William C. Ring.” First of all we were shocked when we discovered that he (Ring) had failed to include the “Christian Science Monitor” as a Defendant . . . his flimsy alibi was that he “hoped to get a published retraction from the ‘Monitor’, which would leave the ADL and the Huntley character without a defense”; also, we found that he had so worded his complaint as to more or less qualify Huntley’s and the “Monitor’s” charges that I had been motivated in my crusade against the UWF Resolution by “anti-semitism.” Our suspicions became a conviction when, *through a mistake made by his secretary*, I came into possession of a copy of one of his letters to Canham, Editor of the Christian Science Monitor, in which he made statements about me (his client) which in a court of law would automatically establish a defense for *all* of the Defendants.

I promptly phoned Ring and demanded an explanation. He was startled and shocked when I told him that I had a copy of his letter to Canham—but, *upon recovery from his shock*, mumbled that he could *satisfactorily* explain that letter. I demanded a personal meeting for that “explanation.” He agreed to phone me later in the day to set a time for such a meeting. During the next 30 days he evaded all

my phone calls, he ignored my letters, and every time I called at his office he was either "out" or "in court," or "in conference" *behind locked doors.*

Finally, I put it up to Senator Tenney to force him to grant me a meeting. Tenney, heartsick about the entire situation, tried and tried to arrange a meeting, but met with persistent evasions. It is to be borne in mind that one of the most rigid canons of the Legal profession requires a lawyer to give time to his client for any conference he may request. Finally when our demands could no longer be evaded, Ring abruptly "substituted" himself out of the case—and refused to return the moneys I had placed *in Trust* with him. His entire fee, *as per contract*, had been paid to him—*these other moneys had been placed in his trust as an attorney to cover probable Deposition costs.*

Without further ado, and on the advice of Senator Tenney and James R. Lineburg, my new lawyer, I placed the matter before the State Bar of California—the State Bar being a self-governing body within the Legal profession, whose chief function is to guard the ethics and canons of the profession against any sharp practises by the shysters within the profession.

The State Bar appointed a Committee composed of three prominent Attorneys, and set the evening of Tuesday, August 29, 1950, for "a preliminary investigation" of my charges. On that very day Ring filed a suit against me for \$4,800 and some odd change, for "special fees" —*in the face of a CONTRACT prohibiting any fees other than that which he had already received.*

He had two objectives for that utterly false suit: 1) he hoped to forestall the State Bar's investigation until the suit was tried; 2) and, *most important*, he wanted to provide the Red and Pink Sheets with "court filed" material for "smear" stories which would make them immune to Libel charges.

[Note: This was made obvious several days later, when Judge Traeger sternly ordered that THIRTEEN pages of false allegations be stricken from the records as being utterly "immaterial and irrelevant" to his claim for "special fees." But by then the damage had been done, as various Red rags throughout the nation seized upon it and published their scurrilous stories. *The untainted newspapers refused to touch it.—Ed.*]

At the preliminary Hearing before the State Bar Committee on that

evening of August 29, 1950, Ring reluctantly acknowledged that: 1) He had deliberately concealed the fact that both he and his wife had been for years members of the Christian Science Church—and therefore would never appear as an attorney against the "Monitor"; 2) that he had never informed me that as a result of delays in filing my suit it was actually "outlawed" by reason of time limitation; 3) that *from the outset* he had been in close contact with the attorneys of the "Anti-Defamation League," who had provided him with free and constant access to the ADL files to "investigate" *me* (his client).

All three members of the Committee were aghast and outraged. They minced no words in expressing their opinions—and concluded with the statement that the matter had developed such a grave turn that they decided to turn the entire case over to a Special Examiner for a complete appraisal of Ring's conduct. They appointed Mr. Roger Arnebergh, Assistant City Attorney of Los Angeles, as their official Examiner.

In response to a letter from Mr. Arnebergh on September 13, 1950, I delivered my entire file to him. He assured me that he would have a complete report in the hands of the State Bar within a matter of several weeks. Several "several weeks" went by without any action—with various excuses for the delay every time I called for information. From here on out I will submit my further report in the form of reprints of my correspondence with the various officials of the State Bar of California.

Cinema Educational Guild, Inc.

(NON-PROFIT CORPORATION)

ADDRESS ALL COMMUNICATIONS TO:
P. O. BOX 8655, COLE BRANCH
HOLLYWOOD 46, CALIFORNIA

MYRON C. FAGAN
NATIONAL DIRECTOR

January 10, 1951

"Miss Gloria Vidmar
c/o State Bar Association
458 So. Spring Street
Los Angeles, Calif.

Re: Fagan vs Ring case

"Dear Miss Vidmar:

"My charges against Wm. C. Ring were submitted, if I remember correctly, in June, 1950. In order to be available for questioning I have had to forego important lecture appearances in the East. I would greatly appreciate information from you as to when I may expect the final

hearing, or the decision from your Committee. This is quite essential, as my presence will be very necessary in Washington on or about January 22.

"There is a still more vital reason why I am anxious for an early conclusion as to my charges:

"As you may be aware, the Cinema Educational Guild, Inc., is engaged in the work of combatting Communism and subversion in Films, in Radio, and in such camouflaged pro-Red movements as the Stockholm Peace Petition, World Federalism, the Genocide Pact, etc. I speak before Lions Clubs, Kiwanis, Civic groups, Womens' Clubs, V.F.W., American Legion Posts, etc. Whenever I am to speak various Red Front organizations, also the A.D.L., and United World Federalists induce Left wing newspaper columnists to publish false and far-fetched charges that I am anti-Semitic, anti-Color and anti-Creed. They always base their charges on the statement issued by that 'eminent attorney William C. Ring' in which he claimed that I am an affiliate of Gerald L. K. Smith and other anti-Semitic characters, many of whom I have never met, or seen.

"Of course, their only objective is to discredit my revealments of the activities of the Red Fronts, such as that 'Art, Science and Professions' Front which sent the floral greetings and eulogies to the Red Chinese delegation.

"True, those stories appear only in Pink sheets, such as the Chicago Sun-Times, the New York Post, the L. A. News, and are broadcast by the Red Radio Commentators, still a decision by the Bar Association, if it finds Ring guilty of betraying his confidential relationship with a client—**and particularly of distorting facts**, so as to enable the Left wingers to quote him and thus be immune to the Laws on Libel and slander, could quickly stop such 'smears.'

"I trust you understand the spirit of this letter. I fully realize that your Committee is composed of very busy men, but I would greatly appreciate some information as to when I may hope for a decision.

Respectfully yours

MYRON C. FAGAN"

On January 20, 1951, I received a brief acknowledgement from Ernestine Stahlhut, Assistant Secretary of the State Bar, stating that she would advise me of the status of the case "as soon as possible."

I heard nothing further until February 20, 1951, when I received a letter from Examiner Roger Arneburgh, advising me that he had completed his "report" to the State Bar.

Again silence reigned supreme until I phoned Miss Stahlhut on March 14, 1951. After some hesitation and confusion on the part of their switchboard operator I was informed that Miss Stahlhut was "tied up in conference" and would call me back later. Instead of a phone call I received the following letter:

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA

440 ROWAN BUILDING

LOS ANGELES, 13

MIchigan 9551

March 14, 1951

"Mr. Myron C. Fagan
P. O. Box 8655, Cole Branch
Hollywood 46, California

"Dear Mr. Fagan:

"The matter in which you sought to have the State Bar proceed came regularly before this committee at its meeting on Tuesday, March 6, 1951.

"The committee has carefully considered the facts brought to its attention concerning the attorney against whom you have complained, and has concluded that no formal proceeding should be instituted by the State Bar.

"Very truly yours,

"Local Administrative Committee

No. Two for Los Angeles County

"By Gloria Vidmar, Secretary."

I promptly telephoned the State Bar, vigorously protesting the above decision—and pointed out that they had never held an *actual* Hearing of the matter, and demanded that the case be *properly* heard . . . I received the following reply:

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA

440 ROWAN BUILDING

LOS ANGELES, 13

MIchigan 9551

March 21, 1951

"Mr. Myron C. Fagan
P. O. Box 8655, Cole Branch
Hollywood 46, California

"Dear Mr. Fagan:

"In re: L. A. Prelim. No. 5251

"Pursuant to our telephone conversation of Monday, this is to advise you that I have reviewed the file in the above matter.

"It appears that the committee considered all of the facts presented in your complaint and also considered the report of the Examiner, and

concluded that the facts presented did not warrant the institution of formal proceedings by the State Bar.

"It also appears from the Examiner's report that it was his suggestion that he withhold his report pending trial and final determination of the Supreme Court action filed against you by the attorney, but that it was your request that his report be filed as soon as possible.

"Our rules provide that after a committee concludes that further proceedings are not justified and declines to issue a Notice to Show Cause, the proceedings shall be abated and no further proceedings shall be taken therein, based upon the same alleged facts, except upon order of the Board of Governors. Any application to the Board for further proceedings must be made within three months after the mailing of the notice of such conclusion of the committee.

"Very truly yours,

"Ernestine Stahlhut,
Assistant Secretary."

Cinema Educational Guild, Inc.

(NON-PROFIT CORPORATION)

ADDRESS ALL COMMUNICATIONS TO:
P. O. BOX 8655, COLE BRANCH
HOLLYWOOD 46, CALIFORNIA

MYRON C. FAGAN
NATIONAL DIRECTOR

April 25, 1951

"Miss Ernestine Stahlhut, Ass't Secretary
The State Bar of California
440 Rowan Building
Los Angeles 13, California

"Dear Miss Stahlhut:

"Re your letter of March 21, 1951, in re L. A. Prelim. No. 5251.

"I most assuredly wish to make application to the Board of Governors for further proceedings in the above matter. At the first hearing in June, 1950, all three members of the Committee evidenced shock and great amazement at the obvious guilt of Wm. C. Ring in acts of willing disregard of the ethics and canons of his profession. He deliberately betrayed and distorted confidential statements made to him in his sacred capacity as my lawyer; he obviously plotted with various Un-American pressure groups to defeat my suits—also to issue public and press statements in order to provide those groups with gravely damaging 'smear' ammunition.

"It was upon the advice of my attorney that I confined my charges against Ring at that time to a comparatively minor matter. His theory was that the return of \$500.00 I had placed in trust with Ring was more important than the other charges—part of which, however, had not become evident until after I had made my original charge.

"In discussing the matter with Mr. Arneburgh, your Examiner, he pointed out to me that for a certain reason he could not recommend any action against Ring on my specific charge, but that I had failed to make the \$64 charges, which on their very face convicted Ring of deliberate betrayal of his ethics and grave betrayal of his client's (meaning me) case.

"Hence, I respectfully request an opportunity to appear before your Board of Governors for a full and complete appraisal of the charges I speak of. I am sure that the State Bar of California—or any Bar Association—would not condone such arrogant and deliberate flouting of professional responsibility and integrity.

"Only recently I received a letter from a prominent lawyer in San Francisco advising me that Ring is at this very time in collusion with the pressure groups I mentioned in spreading distorted—and false—versions of the confidential information I gave him at the time he was my attorney.

"Awaiting your reply, I am,

Very truly yours
Myron C. Fagan"

MCF

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA

2100 CENTRAL TOWER
SAN FRANCISCO 3,
GARfield 1-5955

April 30, 1951

"Mr. Myron C. Fagan
P. O. Box 8655, Cole Branch
Hollywood 46, California

"In re: L. A. Prelim. No. 5251--
(Myron C. Fagan, complaining witness).

"Dear Mr. Fagan:

"Your letter of April 25, 1951, to Miss Stahlhut, requesting further proceedings in the above entitled matter has been forwarded to this office.

"Your request will be brought to the attention of the Board of Governors at its next meeting to be held beginning May 24, 1951.

JAH.ob

Very truly yours,
Jack A. Hayes
Assistant Secretary

cc: E. Stahlhut"

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA

2100 CENTRAL TOWER
SAN FRANCISCO 3,
GARfield 1-5956

June 7, 1951

"Mr. Myron C. Fagan
P. O. Box 8655, Cole Branch
Hollywood 46, California

"In re: L. A. Prelim. No. 5251—
(Myron C. Fagan, complaining witness)

"Dear Mr. Fagan:

"The above entitled matter came regularly before the Board of Governors at its meeting held in Los Angeles on May 24, 1951, at which time it was

"RESOLVED that the request of the complaining witness for further proceedings in the above entitled matter is denied.

JAH:ob

Very truly yours,
Jack A. Hayes
Assistant Secretary"

Upon receipt of this letter I promptly consulted Senator Tenney and Attorney James R. Lineburgh. Both were aghast at this *obvious* determination to suppress the most brazenly flagrant flouting of ethics by a lawyer that had ever come under their observation. Both urged that I submit the matter to the "Grievance Committee" of the State Bar Association—so I wrote the following letter:

Cinema Educational Guild, Inc.

(NON-PROFIT CORPORATION)

ADDRESS ALL COMMUNICATIONS TO:
P. O. BOX 8655, COLE BRANCH
HOLLYWOOD 46, CALIFORNIA

MYRON C. FAGAN
NATIONAL DIRECTOR

July 12, 1951

"State Bar Association
440 Rowan Building
458 S. Spring Street
Los Angeles, Calif.

Attention: Grievance Committee

"Gentlemen:

"Some time ago I employed Attorney William C. Ring to represent me in an action entitled 'Myron C. Fagan vs. Columbia Broadcasting System, et al. This case bears Los Angeles County Superior Case No. 569-085.

"The record will show that this case was dismissed on or about June 22, 1950. During that period of time Mr. Ring was my attorney and on the theory that I was entitled to full protection under the Doctrine and Policy of Confidential Relationship between attorney and client, I revealed to the said attorney all of the pertinent facts necessary for his use in connection with the prosecution of my case against the defendants therein named. Several weeks after Mr. Ring filed the case certain actions on his part raised doubts and suspicions in my mind. I questioned him—whereupon he arbitrarily substituted himself out of the case, which, in turn, forced me to dismiss the case.

"Mr. Ring presented to me for signature a written Contract of Employment prior to his preparation of and the subsequent filing of the case of Fagan vs. C.B.S. That Contract of Employment provided for payment to the attorney of fees on a contingent basis. Notwithstanding, the attorney later caused to be filed against me an action entitled 'William C. Ring vs. Myron C. Fagan,' said case bearing Los Angeles Superior Court case No. 577132. This action was filed on August 28, 1950, and the first 13 pages of said complaint contain distorted material, matters, and things in support of any claim Ring might have against me for fees or money. I have reason to believe on information from a very direct source that Mr. Ring filed that suit for the direct purpose of providing the defendants in the original suit with opportunity to publish injurious and embarrassing statements about me in various Left-wing publications throughout the United States and to distribute mimeographed and printed copies of his false allegations with minimum danger of libel suits. As evidence that those 13 pages of allegations (Mr. Ring's so-called first cause of action) had no bearing on his so-called claim for fees, all 13 pages were entirely stricken by the Court upon motion by James R. Lineburg, my then attorney. Furthermore, the material so used by the attorney in an attempt to embarrass me was obtained by him during that period of time he was acting as my lawyer and during which time, of course, I relied upon the laws and standards of Professional Ethics which entitle the client to complete freedom—meaning absolute reliance on the confidential relationship policy as between attorney and client in matters of this type. I am informed that such conduct on the part of an attorney amounts to a violation of the Canons of the American Bar Association. I therefore present this letter to you as a complaint against William C. Ring, Attorney at Law, and will be pleased to furnish your department, or appointed investigators, with all material necessary in the prosecution of my complaint together with copies of the pleadings here mentioned.

"It is hoped that the proper department of the Bar Association will give to this matter prompt attention and keep me advised as to proceedings as the matter progresses.

Very truly yours,
Myron C. Fagan"

When Senator Tenney (himself a lawyer and member of the State Bar) read the above letter he stated with great confidence that they

could not possibly refuse me the action I requested—after all, I was asking for nothing but a *proper* hearing of the matter. Mr. Lineburg was much less confident—he was convinced that there was a “fix” by somebody within the State Bar, or by some group, to prevent any such hearing.

On July 16, 1951, I received a brief acknowledgement from Miss Ernestine Stahlhut, together with: “Said matter will be referred to the appropriate State Bar Committee, and you will be advised later with reference to it” . . . on July 30, 1951, I received the following letter:

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA

440 ROWAN BUILDING
LOS ANGELES, 13
MICHIGAN 9551

July 30, 1951

“Mr. Myron C. Fagan
428 North Maple Drive
Beverly Hills, California

“Dear Mr. Fagan:

“The matter in which you sought to have the State Bar proceed came regularly before this committee at its meeting on Tuesday, July 17, 1951.

“The committee has carefully considered the statement of alleged facts brought to its attention concerning the attorney against whom you have complained, and has concluded that the facts are insufficient to warrant disciplinary action by the State Bar.

“Very truly yours,

“Local Administrative Committee
No. Two for Los Angeles County
“By Gloria Vidmar, Secretary”

During the month of August, Mr. Homer D. Crotty, President of the State Bar of California, was considerably in the news—he issued several statements at Press conferences about the high virtues of the Legal profession and the vast importance of the “Bar Association” as a self-appointed guardian of the Ethics and Canons of the Bar. He high-mindedly proclaimed that the confidential relationship between a lawyer and a client was as *inviolable* and as sacred as the “Confessional” in the Catholic Church. Taking him at his pious word, I decided to bring

the matter to his attention . . . I assumed that this very high principled and saintly lawyer was totally unaware that the organization of which he was the head was giving the lie to his saintly utterances; I wrote the following letter to him:

Cinema Educational Guild, Inc.

(NON-PROFIT CORPORATION)

ADDRESS ALL COMMUNICATIONS TO:
P. O. BOX 8655, COLE BRANCH
HOLLYWOOD 46, CALIFORNIA

MYRON C. FAGAN
NATIONAL DIRECTOR

September 17, 1951

"Mr. Homer D. Crotty
634 So. Spring Street
Los Angeles 14, Calif.

"My Dear Mr. Crotty:

"I have read your statements in the press with unusual interest.

"As I see it, the Bar Association, to the lawyer is a Holy of Holies. To the layman it is a sanctuary and protector against the trickeries of the shyster. If ever the layman finds reason to lose faith in the 'sacredness' of the Bar Association, he completely loses faith in the entire profession. When a layman places himself in the hands of a lawyer he feels—**and must feel**—the same confidence in him with which a pious Catholic entrusts his confessional with his parish priest.

"This letter is addressed to you as the President and head of the California State Bar Association, because of such a brazen and wilful disregard of the canons and ethics of your profession by a member of your Association that were he a physician, or a man of the cloth, or of any other profession he would promptly be expelled from that profession. This statement is not merely mine, but that of several Attorneys, members of your Association, who know all the circumstances and who will unhesitatingly tell you that the failure of the State Bar to give the matter proper action is the very blackest mark against the integrity of the Association. I herewith present the facts as briefly as I can:

"As head of this Guild, I have been fighting Communism in Hollywood for several years and can say without any fear of contradiction that I am directly responsible for the House Un-American Activities Committee's investigation of Hollywood.

"In addition, Senator Jack B. Tenney has been generous enough to say that my work went a long way to bring about the Rescission of the 'United World Federalists' Resolution in Sacramento and other States. Also, I was the first man to expose the menace of the 'Genocide Treaty' and other such matters.

"In October, 1949, the United World Federalists, in order to

discredit my efforts, joined with various other groups and published vilifying editorials in Christian Science Monitor (Editor Ed Canham is an avid 'One-Worlder. '); also via Radio Broadcasts by one Chet Huntley.

"Frankly, I had no intention to sue them for libel and/or slander. I did not want to invest either time or money in such actions. But Senator Jack B. Tenney, familiar with the entire matter, strongly resented 'smears' and urged me to enter suit. He said it would be a great deed for Americanism, as it might tend to stop all such 'smearings' against other Americans fighting Communism. I reluctantly agreed and asked the Senator if he would act as the Attorney. He promptly agreed to act as the trial lawyer **without a fee**, but not being too familiar with Libel laws, and lacking time for research, he felt that I should engage another attorney for that purpose. Of course, it had to be a lawyer known for his true Americanism. After about a month of checking, Senator Tenney suggested William C. Ring. I did not know Ring, had never even heard of him, but I accepted Tenney's recommendations. I entered into a contract with Ring whereby I paid him \$500 (which was to cover all his stenographic work, filing fees, etc.), plus a percentage of our damage recovery. In addition, I provided the bond money and an additional \$500 for possible deposition expenses.

"Within 30 days I became suspicious of Ring, because, despite a distinct understanding, he had failed to include the Christian Science Monitor as one of the Defendants. When taxed with it, he replied with what seemed to Tenney to be a plausible excuse. Within the following 30 days my suspicions were increased when I discovered that he was carrying on a very friendly correspondence with Editor Ed Canham of the C.S.M., in which he made some very uncomplimentary and damaging allusions to me. I phoned him about it and asked for a conference to discuss the matter. He refused to see me that day—**and for six weeks continued to refuse to see me.**

"I contacted Senator Tenney, then in Sacramento. He contacted Ring, who told him that he had decided not to see me until Tenney returned to Los Angeles, when he would arrange for a three cornered meeting. But when Tenney returned Ring still refused to see us—and then suddenly substituted himself out of the case. I was not surprised—because I had come to the conclusion that for certain reasons, **later verified**, Ring was out to torpedo the case.

"I promptly turned the matter over to Attorney James R. Lineburg. He instructed me to get the file from Ring. When I looked through the file several important items were missing—which he never did return. I also demanded the return of my bond money receipt and the \$500 which he had induced me to entrust to him for possible Deposition charges. He sent me the bond money receipt, but stated that he was applying that \$500 to a bill for additional fees and expenses. **Kindly bear in mind** that this was in the face of a **specific** contract. Of course, I rejected his claim. I waited for two months and again wrote him for the \$500. His reply was a bill for an additional sum of Eight Hundred dollars and some cents.

"Meanwhile, Mr. Lineburg had found that Ring had never had a

real case as he had presented it and advised that it be dismissed. Tenney agreed with him. At the same time Mr. Lineburg advised that I place the matter of the \$500 before the Bar Association. I did so. In a matter of days I received a letter from Ring with a bill for fees—this time for TWO THOUSAND and Eight Hundred Dollars. I ignored the letter.

"At five o'clock on the afternoon set for the hearing before the State Bar Committee, I picked up a 'Los Angeles Daily News' and read a most scurrilous article stating that 'Wm. C. Ring had entered suit against Playwright Myron C. Fagan for FOUR THOUSAND and Eight Hundred dollars.' Then, quoting Ring, the article stated that Ring had thrown my case out of his office because he had discovered that I was a liar, a character assassin, etc., etc., and that I had joined certain notorious Anti-Semites in attacks against the United World Federalists, etc., etc. All of his statements were complete distortions of matters we had discussed. Here was a clear case of a lawyer betraying his confidential relationship with a client.

"That suit was filed for two reasons: 1) revenge for my having gone to the Bar Association; 2) but even more so, to give the Red and Pink Press an opportunity to vilify me without Libel liability. As proof: When Mr. Lineburg appeared in Court to answer the summons, the Judge (I believe it was Judge Traeger) looked at Ring and sternly ordered that the first 13 pages, which contained all the allegations, be stricken.

"At the Bar Committee's hearing that evening, all three men on that committee were astounded and aghast—especially when they read that Daily News Article. However, my charge up to then was for the return of the \$500. I had no proof of the betrayals, etc. So, while the Committee members expressed themselves quite strongly about the entire matter, and especially the newspaper article, they felt that the entire matter should be turned over to an Examiner for proper action. The Examiner they appointed was Roger Arneburgh.

"The following is something that completely baffles me:

"The file was turned over to Mr. Arneburgh in July, 1950. Despite my many repeated requests for action it was March, 1951, before I received a curt letter from Miss Stahlhut, stating that the Bar Association had decided to take no action and to close the matter.

"I promptly called on Mr. Arneburgh. He received me courteously and in a friendly spirit—and explained that while he had been restricted to the matter of the \$500 retained by Ring, which he covered in his findings, the rest of the file contains a number of real \$64 questions which should assuredly get action from the Bar Association.

"When I asked him for a copy of his 'findings,' he said he saw no reason why I should not have it, but on second thought, decided to call up the Bar Association to get an okay. Miss Stahlhut promptly ordered him to not only not give me a copy, but not even show it to me. I wonder why?

"However, presumably inadvertantly, when Mr. Arnebaugh returned my file to me he left in it a dozen sheets of hand written notations and opinions on the case, among them the following:

"Agreement for services provides retainer of \$500.00 will cover 'overhead expenses' and cover costs up to time of trial, **exclusive** of the expenses of depositions, if any, jury fees and travelling expenses, if any, 'I (Ring) will pay the other ordinary costs of court up to the time of trial out of this retainer.'

"Case never reached trial. Therefore under retainer agreement no amount could be arrived against Fagan except 'expense of depositions' and 'travelling expenses'." There were no depositions taken.

"\$500.00 which Fagan claims was for depositions and which included words 'investigations of witness' must be read in conjunction with retainer agreement. There being no 'trial' there could be no 'witness' fees. Insofar as 'investigation' is concerned, this could only cover 'travelling expenses' which is the only matter not covered by the original retainer."

"Statement attached shows only:

"\$ 6.00 transportation

"\$ 5.00 Taxi

— — —
"\$11.00 total, which could be claimed from \$500.00 deposit under original agreement."

" 'Much correspondence which could well be construed as showing that Ring was working at cross purposes with his client; a more charitable view is that he had used most unusual tactics—in any event, he certainly did not 'hold inviolate' the confidences of his client.' "

"As I have stated, there are a dozen pages of such notations. In view of that, I followed Mr. Arneburgh's suggestion and wrote to Miss Stahlhut, requesting a re-opening of the matter as I wished to prefer the other charges. I received a brief note that my letter had been relayed to San Francisco for consideration by the Board of Governors. About two weeks later I received a note that my request was denied.

"Thereupon I wrote a letter and addressed it to the Grievance Committee, in which I lucidly set forth my reasons for requesting a hearing on the entire matter. I discussed the letter with Senator Tenney and Mr. Lineburgh. Both highly approved it and assured me that it could not fail to get the attention I requested.

"Two weeks later I tried to get Miss Stahlhut on the phone to find out what action, if any, was being taken. Twice, when I stated who was calling Miss Stahlhut, I was requested to hold the wire, then was informed she was 'out,' but would later answer my call. On my third call she finally answered and said: 'Oh, I've written you about it.' Two days later I received a curt note, signed by 'Gloria Vidmar,' stating that my request was denied.

"Now my final evidence that from the very outset Ring was juggling with the ethics and canons of your profession: he filed his suit against me in July, 1950, but requested that it be set for trial on September 5, 1951—**15 months later**. On August 27, 1951, he phoned my attorney that he was

sending him a stipulation to **take the case off the calendar**. He did not want a continuance—he wanted it 'off the calendar.' Well, Mr. Arneburgh had told me that Ring had filed more cases only to dismiss them before trial than any half dozen Los Angeles lawyers combined. He thus hopes to retain my money and suffer no penalties for his 'betrayals' and other breaches of professional ethics.

'Under the circumstances, Mr. Crotty, and in view of your catholic principles as regards a lawyer's ethics and confidential relationships with clients, don't you think that this is a serious enough matter for the Bar Association to set straight?

"I will greatly appreciate your reply at your earliest convenience.

Respectfully yours,

Myron C. Fagan"

Several days later I received a letter from Mr. Crotty's office, advising that he was "away on vacation," but that he would give my letter his attention promptly on his return.

Several weeks later I received a brief note from Mr. Crotty, informing me that he was no longer President of the State Bar of California and that he had therefore transferred the matter to the new President, Mr. Emil Gumpert. On October 31, 1951, I received the following letter from Mr. Gumpert:

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA

Office of the President
515 AMERICAN TRUST BUILDING
22 N. SAN JOAQUIN STREET
STOCKTON 2
STOCKTON 5-5763

October 31, 1951

"Mr. Myron C. Fagan
Beverly Hills, California

"Dear Mr. Fagan:

"This is in reply to your communication of September 17, 1951, addressed to Mr. Homer D. Crotty, my immediate predecessor as President of the State Bar of California.

"Shortly after your letter was called to my attention I personally reviewed all of our records in connection with the matters about which you complain. I also read all of the pleadings and files in the Los Angeles County Clerk's Office in the case of Ring versus yourself.

"I must conclude that your charges received full and fair consideration by The State Bar and that no further action by us is authorized.

Very truly yours,
Emil Gumpert, President"

My reply to the above letter speaks for itself.

Cinema Educational Guild, Inc.

(NON-PROFIT CORPORATION)

ADDRESS ALL COMMUNICATIONS TO:
P. O. BOX 8655, COLE BRANCH
HOLLYWOOD 46, CALIFORNIA

MYRON C. FAGAN
NATIONAL DIRECTOR

November 13, 1951

"Mr. Emil Gumpert, Pres.
The State Bar of California
22 N. San Joaquin St.
Stockton, California

"My dear Mr. Gumpert:

"This will acknowledge your letter of October 31, 1951, anent the William C. Ring case.

"Frankly, the position you take in the matter does not strike me with surprise. It became evident to me as long ago as December, 1950, that this was one case from which your organization would shy away. Not because Ring was a respected member of your profession. As a matter of fact, I know that he has been in 'hot water' with your organization on a number of occasions, and that he at least once was disbarred for a long period of time. But in this case, he had 'sold me out' on behalf of the Anti-Defamation League—and the Anti-Defamation League was bound to protect him. I was given some very strong hints by members of the Bar, who know the score, that enough wires would be pulled to prevent a hearing of the matter.

"You state that my 'charges received full and fair consideration by the State Bar.' In the face of that I have documentary evidence that your Examiner, Mr. Roaer Arneburgh, in his written findings, established beyond question that Ring had betrayed his client's (myself) confidence; that he had worked at cross purposes with me from the outset; that, to put it mildly, he 'confiscated' money entrusted to him for Depositions—which he never took **and which he never intended to take**; he established that Ring had broken all the ethics and the very canons of his profession; **and he established that all of the most serious charges were never even given consideration by the State Bar.**

"In your letter you state that you read all the pleadings and files in the Los Angeles County Clerk's Office. At that rate, you read 13 pages of false allegations by Ring, in which he deliberately betrayed his client.

He made those charges so as to provide a 'legal court record' which the A.D.L. and the pink sheets could use to smear me without becoming liable for libel. **Those 13 pages were so obviously intended for that purpose that Judge Traeger ordered all of them to be stricken!** But by that time Ring had enabled the L. A. Daily News and other sheets to publish those false allegations. In the face of all that you, a lawyer, occupying the high office of President of your State Bar Association, say that my 'charges received full and fair consideration.'

"One of the most insidious methods employed by the Reds and their allies to cripple an opponent who becomes too effective, is to tie him up with law suits to drain him financially via lawyers' fees—and to keep him so busy answering those lawsuits that he won't have time to fight their treason. In addition, it enables them to provide their Smear Sheets with 'legally recorded' highly smearing 'allegations'—even though the case is later thrown out, or voluntarily 'dismissed.'

"As far back as September, 1948, Milton Senn, the A.D.L.'s Los Angeles chief commissar snarled threats that I 'would be dignified with plenty of law suits, if I continued my anti-Communist activities. They knew that I never make a charge, or a statement, unless I have **documentary** evidence to back me up, hence they **never** attempted any libel suits—instead, they resorted to various fantastic 'nuisance' suits, which they used for 'smear' publicity. One lawyer who started two such suits against me brazenly stated to Attorney James R. Lineburgh that 'a barrage of suits will be hurled at Fagan.'

"When I started my action against C.B.S. and the Anti-Defamation League, Senator Tenney and I had full reason to believe that such an action would reveal the link-up between the Reds and all their 'respectable' allies. Then when Ring deliberately torpedoed that case he did it so brazenly that both Senator Tenney and Attorney Lineburgh were confident that a proper hearing before the State Bar Association would unmask this phase of the Red's machinations—but apparently some powers-that-be within your State Bar Association decided that that must not happen.

"In conclusion, I refer you to the first paragraph of my letter of September 17, 1951, to Mr. Crotty, your predecessor in office.

"Now, Mr. Gumpert, if lawyers can betray their clients' confidences as Ring did in my case—if lawyers can **wittingly** and **knowingly** prostitute the courts, with false suits at the behest of enemies of our country, without fear of disciplinary action by the Bar Association, how do you expect people to trust lawyers? How long, in the face of such circumstances, do you think a Bar Association can retain the trust and faith of the layman, or the respect of the **ethical** lawyer? What need is there for a Bar Association if it refuses or fails, to fulfill the functions for which it is supposed to exist?

"Bear in mind, your Association not only refused to hold a hearing of the very grave and prima facie charges against Ring, but you failed to make any investigation—you did not even call upon Senator Jack B. Tenney, whose testimony would have completely established Ring's guilt. In short, you 'closed' the matter without even 'trying' it. I can only conclude that it was done 'on orders.' And now I am even deprived

of my chance to establish my charges in court, since Ring conveniently died a few weeks ago.

"Do you remember those words of fire that appeared on ancient Belshazzar's Babylonian wall? Those words were 'MENE, MENE, TEKEL UPSHARSIN.' Translated, they read 'You have been weighed in the balance and found wanting.'

"In my humble opinion those words aptly fit your State Bar Association. You will find that many lawyers, who are familiar with this Ring case, agree with me.

Yours truly,
Myron C. Fagan."

With this I rest my case and submit it to the American people as the Judge and Jury to render the verdict as to why the Bar Association, which must be just as much above suspicion as Caesar's Wife, has deliberately suppressed this brazen betrayal of the Ethics and the Canons upon which the entire Legal profession depends for the faith of the people.

I AM MERELY YOUR REPORTER

At this point I wish to *stress* that in setting down the above report of my experiences with the invisible—*but sinister*—power of the ADL, I am utterly and completely impersonal. What they have done to me, or to a Jack Tenney, or to a John T. Flynn, or a Joe McCarthy, or to a Herbert Hoover, or even what they may have in store for a Douglas MacArthur, is of infinitesimal importance compared to what they can—and will—do to the American people . . . *unless they are unmasked and shorn of all their secret weapons and illicit powers!!!* Hence, I am merely a "reporter" who wishes to alert the American people to a MENACE of which the vast majority are seemingly blissfully unaware. In fact, I doubt *if even the vast majority of American Jewry are aware that the very safety of our Nation is being placed in jeopardy IN THEIR NAME!*

THE BLACK DRAGON SOCIETY

To emphasize the dread menace of the ADL to America, I cite what "The Black Dragon Society" did to Japan:

For many years prior to 1938, two parties were fighting for control

in Japan. One, known as the "Conservative Party," headed by Prince Konoye, was dedicated to the creation of a peace-loving Japan. The other party was the "Imperialistic *Samurai* Party" which was determined to conquer the world by force of arms and make it a vassal of Japan. Konoye was the Strong Man of Japan in those earlier days, and, everything else being equal, he could have kept the *Samurai* in check. But there was a third force—an *invisible force*—in Japan which made all the final decisions. That third force was the "Black Dragon Society."

On the surface this "Black Dragon Society" was a harmless fraternal organization, but *under* the surface it was a Japanese Mafia, dominated by a sinister clique which by 1938 held all of Japan in thrall. Only, whereas the Mafia are out only for plunder, the "Black Dragon" was organized to enslave Japan—and, through Japan, the world. They had an army of their own "secret police" who answered to nobody but the "Dragon," and who stopped at *absolutely nothing* in carrying out the orders of the "Dragon." Men who stood in the way of this gang died mysteriously, and, at the mere whisper of the dread "Black Dragon," the mysterious death quickly became a "heart attack," or "natural causes." They spread their murderously poisonous tentacles into Industry, into Labor, into the peasantry and into the nobility. They employed bribery, coercion, blackmail, terrorism in all forms. They had their own methods of "smearing" decent men and women until they made them outcasts and pariahs in their communities—and even within their own families.

Waging a losing fight, the *Samurai* turned to the "Black Dragon Society" for support—and *quickly became its slave and its tool!* Year after year they gained more and more power in the government of Japan. Until 1939 there were a few strong men in Japan who were too courageous and too truly patriotic . . . those few stood in the way of the "Black Dragon" to supreme power. But by then the "Dragon" had reached the point where they would brook no opposition—not even *from the Emperor!* They resorted to open assassination and the authorities would not—or *dared not*—take action against the assassins. The Conservative Party collapsed—Tojo emerged! Then came Pearl Harbor—and *the end of Japan!*

And so, like the "Black Dragon Society" in Japan, we have the "Anti-Defamation League" in our United States! Like the "Black Dragon," the ADL is on the surface just an adjunct of a harmless fraternal organization. Like the "Black Dragon" the ADL has its own

thousands of "Secret Police," stationed throughout our nation. They have reached their tentacles into our Industry, into Labor, into our Political Parties; they have seized control of our Press, our Radio, and our Screen; they control Judges and Courts; they hold "captive" Mayors, Governors, and—*through their Lehmans, Frankfurters and Morgenthau*—our Federal Government . . . HOW ELSE COULD AN ANNA M. ROSENBERG EVER HAVE BECOME THE REAL "BOSS" OF OUR DEFENSE DEPARTMENT?

Like the "Black Dragon," the ADL employs bribery, coercion, blackmail and all forms of terrorism to achieve their ends. Those who oppose them are "smeared" into surrender, *or into total disrepute!* They subsidize a John Roy Carlson (of the many aliases) to write a vicious smear book like "Under Cover" and they have the power to force Radio Commentators, Magazines, and so-called book-reviewers on prominent newspapers, to ballyhoo its drivel into a "best seller"—*so as to give nation-wide spread to its libel and slander . . .* and they have the power to force those same Radio Commentators and book-reviewers to blast and ridicule *and suppress* a masterfully written book like John T. Flynn's patriotic "The Road Ahead"! They have the power to force the creation of a malodorous "Buchanan Committee" to "investigate" *and ruthlessly persecute* heads of patriotic organizations whose only "crime" is that of fighting Communism—but when Congressman John Rankin demanded a similar investigation of the "Anti-Defamation League" they had the power to prevent it.

In short, what the "Black Dragon Society" was to and in Japan, the "Anti-Defamation League" is to and in the United States!!! . . . *with this frighteningly vital difference: the "Black Dragon Society" was using Japan for their Machiavellian scheme to conquer the world, but there is no question or doubt that they were first, last, and all the time out for a Greater Japan—the destruction of Japan meant DEATH for the "Black Dragon Society" . . . the "Anti-Defamation League" is using the United States in identically the same way to create a "One World" Government to be controlled and dominated by their Internationalist creatures—the destruction of the United States as a sovereign nation means triumphant LIFE for the Anti-Defamation League!!!*

The "Black Dragon Society" gave refuge and support to all those who fought for a Greater Japan . . . *the ADL gives refuge, protection and support to all those who fight for Communism!!!*

The "Black Dragon Society" destroyed by means of the "smear."

and finally by open assassination those who fought *against* their scheme for a Greater Japan—and gave honor, wealth and grandeur to those who fought *for* them, as witness Tojo . . . the “Anti-Defamation League” destroys by means of the “smear” (assassination is just a step away) all those who fight *against* Communism—and provide honor, wealth and grandeur for those who fight *for* Communism, as witness ANNA M. ROSENBERG!—*among many others!!!*

The “Black Dragon Society” gave refuge and sanctuary—to murderers and men who criminally broke the laws of Japan in order to do their bidding—they protected such men, and made them the “powers-that-be in their communities” . . . I will cite one case (*I know of many others*) to emphasize that *the ADL runs in that same exact groove*—for this particular case I have DOCUMENTARY evidence in the form of *photostatic copies of Federal and State documents* still in *official* existence!

PERJURY WINS!

This individual, born in Austria, arrived in Canada in 1910. He was then ten years of age. He emigrated to the United States from Montreal, Canada, on September 3, 1910, arriving at Rouses Point on September 18, 1910. In 1911, eleven years later, we find him working as a law clerk in New York. On May 11, 1911, he appeared in Supreme Court, Kings County, Brooklyn, N.Y., and “declared” his intention to become a United States citizen . . . but he did not fulfill his petition to become a naturalized citizen until May 16, 1918—in *California*; he was finally admitted to citizenship and issued a Certificate of Naturalization on February 21, 1919 . . .

Now—on January 7, 1913, this man appeared before the District Court of Appeals in Los Angeles with an application to be permitted to the practice of Law in the State of California. In submitting his application he stated UNDER OATH that he was a citizen of the United States. His application was granted on January 10, 1913—and *he did not even file his petition to become a citizen until May 16, 1918* . . . thus, from 1913 until 1919 he was an Alien unlawfully practising Law in Los Angeles, California—and *there is no record in evidence to show that he ever took any steps to rectify his false oath of January 7, 1913!!!* Later, he became a Judge, if you please—and *still no record that he ever made any effort to rectify his “mistake” of January 7, 1913*. In short, this man, who is one of the top “Big Brains” of the “Anti-Defamation League.” has throughout all his years as an

Attorney and as a Judge been practising under a false oath. In fact, this man committed further perjury on July 21, 1938, when he stated—UNDER OATH—on an Affidavit of Registration that he acquired his citizenship on May 15, 1916 . . . and then on April 24, 1946, on another Affidavit of Registration, he stated UNDER OATH that he did not become a citizen until February 21, 1919—which finally was the truth!!!

Now to cite just a few of this ADL Big Shot's RECORDED Communist affiliations:

1) In 1946 he, in association with such characters as Carey McWilliams, Loren Miller, Bishop G. Bromley Oxnam, Corliss Lamont, A. L. Wirin, Louis Adamic and many others of that ilk, served as a member of the Board of Directors of the CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION; 2) In 1945, he was a Sponsor, together with Robert W. Kenny, Bartley Crum, Carey McWilliams, John Howard Lawson, etc., of another Red Front: the FIRST STATE-WIDE EMERGENCY LEGISLATIVE CONFERENCE; 3) In 1937, he was a Sponsor, together with a score of characters such as Robert Kenny, Herbert Biberman, Charles Katz, Dorothy Parker, John Howard Lawson, etc., of the HOLLYWOOD ANTI-NAZI LEAGUE—the organizer of this FRONT was V. J. Jerome, alias Isaac Romaine, the openly known Moscow Commissar in America; 4) In 1942, he was a Sponsor, together with numerous Communists and Fellow Travelers, of the HOLLYWOOD LEAGUE FOR DEMOCRATIC ACTION; 5) In 1945, he was, again in company with many Communists and Fellow Travelers, a Sponsor of the MOBILIZATION FOR DEMOCRACY; 6) One of the BIG BRAINS of the notorious NATIONAL LAWYERS' GUILD.

AN ADL COMMISSAR

Out of that 1913 little Acorn there grew a mighty Oak . . . today, this man—*who has been practising law and presiding as a Judge in our Courts under a FALSE OATH*—whose Red background is on RECORD for all to see, is a TOP Commissar of the "Anti-Defamation League" in the California area. This man and others like him, in New York, Chicago and other strategic spots, compose the Politburo of the Anti-Defamation League. This clique dictates the policies, the activities, and the acts of the A D L. *And for 20 and more years they have held all of our 145 million Americans in trembling fear of the wrath of the "ANTI-DEFAMATION LEAGUE"!!!*

The most amazing feature of their "power" is that their one and only

weapon is that "ANTI-SEMITIC" bogey. That dread brand holds politicians captive . . . it muzzles the Press and the Radio . . . it has become the "kiss of death" for *anybody* who becomes an effective foe of Communism; to wit:

Senator Jack B. Tenney sponsored a series of Bills to outlaw Communism in California—he was promptly branded ANTI-SEMITIC and marked for political destruction; *and they almost succeeded!!!* . . . Joe McCarthy exposed the Reds in our State Department—immediately a *whisper* that he is ANTI-SEMITIC reverberated throughout the land—and they coined the word "McCarthyism," to make his name a byword of "infamy" . . . John T. Flynn wrote "The Roosevelt Myth" in which he unmasked that ADL Idol as the patron saint of Communism in America—he was promptly branded ANTI-SEMITIC, and their Radio and Press stooges roasted and blasted and reviled his book into practical suppression . . . an outraged Fulton Lewis, Jr., exposed the true background of Anna M. Rosenberg, and might well have prevented her confirmation as Assistant Secretary of Defense—he was promptly silenced by a threat of the dread ANTI-SEMITIC brand.

All that despite the fact that not one of those four named ever even remotely cast any reflection on the Jew as an individual, or on the race as a whole!!!

CPA BOOK PUBLISHER

P. O. Box 596, Boring, OR 97009

Email: cpabooks@hotmail.com